BOD removal kinetics

1.	Image: Note of the second se	This presentation is on BOD removal kinetics, and an understanding of this topic is essential for designing wastewater treatment works, especially waste stabilization ponds. All the equations can be applied to the removal of other parameters, such as nitrogen and <i>E. coli</i> [or faecal coliforms].
2.	BOD REMOVAL KINETICS	The slide shows the basic 'verbal equation' for the removal of organic matter in wastewater. Oxygen is needed for this and bacteria use the oxygen to oxidize the organic matter, and they do this to produce new bacterial cells.
3.	BOD REMOVAL KINETICS Organic compounds in wastewater + 02 bacteria bacterial wastes Oxidized wastes New bacterial cells Impossible to quantify directly. Therefore we express the concentration of organic compounds in wastewater in terms of the oxygen used to oxidize them.	It's simply not possible to quantify the organic matter in the wastewater directly, unless you have a Total Organic Carbon meter, but these are very expensive and very few labs have one. Instead we express the concentration of organic matter in terms of the oxygen used to oxidize it.
4.	 If this oxygen is used by bacteria, then this oxygen demand is termed the biochemical oxygen demand or BOD Alternatively we can oxidize the wastewater organics with a strong acid (boiling acid dichromate solution) – then the oxygen demand is the chemical oxygen demand or COD 	If this oxygen is used by bacteria, then this oxygen demand is called the ' biochemical oxygen demand ' or BOD for short. An alternative is to oxidize the organic matter with a strong acid, usually a boiling acid dichromate solution, and then we call the oxygen demand the ' chemical oxygen demand ' or COD.



8.	(1) Time Time Generalized BOD curves	These two graphs are typical BOD curves. The one on the left is the $y-t$ curve showing how the BOD is removed, that's the same as how the bacteria use the oxygen; and the one on the right is the $L-t$ curve showing how much BOD remains at any time t. The $y-t$ curve starts at zero, and the $L-t$ curve starts at L_0 . The two curves are showing the same thing, of course, as $y = L_0 - L$.
9.	BOD ₅ / BOD _u = [1 - exp(-k ₁ t)] ≈ 2/3 5 days	The ratio BOD ₅ /BOD _u , or y_5/L_0 , is given by: $y_5/L_0 = (1 - e^{-(k_1 \times 5)})$ For normal untreated domestic or mun- icipal wastewater k_1 is ~0.23 day ⁻¹ , so the ratio is ~ ² / ₃ . We normally measure BOD ₅ , so we know that the ultimate BOD will be ~1.5 × the BOD ₅ .
10.	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{BOD}_5 / \textbf{BOD}_u \\ = [1 - \exp(-k_1 t)] \\ \approx 2/3 \qquad 5 \text{ days} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Figure 1} \\ \textbf{Figure 2} \\ Figure $	k_1 is really a reflection of bacterial activity, so it will vary with temperature. To describe this we use an Arrhenius-type equation, usually of the form: $k_{1(T)} = k_{1(20)} \varphi^{T=20}$ where $k_{1(T)}$ is the value of k_1 at T° , $k_{1(20)}$ its value at 20°, and φ is an Arrhenius constant and, for BOD removal, it's often in the range 1.03–1.09 and typically ~1.05.
11.	CONTINUOUS FLOW REACTORS Three hydraulic flow regimes: 1. Complete mixing 2. Plug flow 3. Dispersed flow Regimes 1 & 2 are 'ideal' flow regimes. They represent the two extremes of dispersed flow, and are never totally achieved in practice.	The equations we've developed so far are 'batch culture' equations – that is to say, they're for a given volume of wastewater which receives no more wastewater and there's no discharge of treated wastewater from it. But wastewater treatment plants are continuous flow reactors, not batch reactors, as they receive an inflow of wastewater all the time and there's a corresponding outflow of treated waste- water. In a reactor there are three types of hydraulic flow regime: complete mixing, plug flow and dispersed flow. The first two are ideal flow regimes and are never totally achieved in practice.



16.	3. Dispersed flow • Dispersion number δ , where $0 < \delta < \infty$ Wehner-Wilhelm equation: $ \frac{L_{g}}{L_{1}} = \frac{4a \exp(1/2\delta)}{(1+a)^{2}\exp(a/2\delta) - (1-a)^{2}\exp(-a/2\delta)} $ where $a = \sqrt{(1+4k_{1}\theta\delta)}$	In reality, of course, we don't have either of these two ideal flow regimes; we have what's called ' dispersed flow ' and dispersed-flow reactors are characterised by a ' dispersion number ' given the symbol δ . The value of δ is between zero and infinity. In a plug-flow reactor $\delta = 0$ and in a completely mixed reactor $\delta = \infty$. In dispersed flow reactors $0 < \delta < \infty$ and BOD removal is described by the Wehner- Wilhelm equation shown on the slide. The equation may look a bit complicated, but it's not really.
17.	<pre>Provide state of the state</pre>	This slide shows the 'Thirumurthi' chart for dispersed-flow reactors. On the <i>y</i> axis we have the dimensionless product $k_1\theta$ and on the <i>x</i> axis, on a log scale, the percentage BOD remaining. For plug flow, i.e. for $\delta =$ 0, we have a straight line; and for all other values of δ we have slightly curved lines. Several lines are on the chart and the corresponding dispersion number is adjacent to each. If we look at 20% BOD remaining on the <i>x</i> axis and go up, we find that the value of $k_1\theta$ is somewhere around 0.7 or so for plug flow; and more or less exactly 4 for complete mixing.
18.	Chart appears to show that plug-flow reactors are more efficient than complete-mix reactors, but this assumes that $k_1 PF = k_1 CM$ - unlikely! $\frac{1}{2 \log scale} \frac{5}{5} = 10 \frac{20}{20} \frac{40}{40}$ BOD remaining, %	This might suggest that plug-flow reactors are far more efficient than completely mixed reactors, and most people hold to this view. It's certainly true if the value of k_1 is the same in both types of reactor, both treating of course the same wastewater; but this may not generally be the case.
19.	For $\delta < 2$ (and 2 is close to ∞), second term in the denominator of the W–W eqn is small and can be ignored. The equation becomes: $L_e/L_1 = [4a/(1+a)^2]exp[(1-a)/2\delta]$ δ measured from tracer studies or, for WSP (simple equation of von Sperling): $\delta = (L/B)^{-1}$ Pond length-to- breadth ratio	For dispersion numbers less than 2 (and with dispersion numbers 2 is actually quite close to ∞), the second term in the denominator of the Wehner-Wilhelm equation is small and can be ignored, so that for most reactors the equation becomes: $\frac{L_{\rm e}}{L_{\rm i}} = \left[\frac{4a}{(1+a)^2}\right]e^{\frac{1-a}{2\delta}}$
		where $a = (as before) [1 + 4k_1\theta\delta]^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

		We can measure δ from tracer studies or, just for waste stabilization ponds, we can get an estimate of δ from von Sperling's equation, which says that δ is the reciprocal of the pond's length-to- breadth ratio.
20.	TRACER STUDYInject a slug of tracer in pond influentLucrescent dye: Rhodamine WTδ in fac. & mat. ponds ≈ 0.4-0.8	Tracer studies are done like this. At $t = 0$ a concentrated slug of the tracer, usually a dye such as rhodamine WT, is poured into the pond, as shown on the slide for the full-scale facultative pond at Vidigueira in Portugal. Then the concentration of the dye in the effluent is measured for 2–3 V/Q retention times. The results can then be fed into a computer program to calculate δ . With facultative and maturation ponds we often find that δ is ~0.4–0.8, i.e. roughly half way between plug flow and complete mixing, which is what you'd sort of expect.
21.	$t_{x/\theta}^{\text{complete mixing (m)}} t_{x/\theta}^{\text{igh dispersion (0.2)}} t_{x/\theta}^{\text{igh dispersion (0.2)}} t_{x/\theta}^{\text{igh dispersion (0.02)}} t_{x/\theta}^{\text{igh dispersion (0.002)}} $	This slide shows four plots of dimensionless concentration against dimensionless time. Dimensionless concentration is C_e/C^* , where C_e is the dye concentration in the effluent and C^* is the mass of dye added in the slug divided by the pond volume. Dimensionless time is t_e , the time at which C_e is measured, divided by the V/Q retention time, θ . The four plots are for complete mixing or $\delta = \infty$, at top left; for plug flow or $\delta = 0$, at bottom right; and for a high degree of dispersion, $\delta = 0.2$, at top right; and a low level of dispersion, $\delta = 0.002$, at bottom left.
22.	$\int_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{d} $	With complete mixing $C_e/C^* = 1$ at $t = 0$, thereafter the dye is washed out exponentially. In complete contrast, with plug flow all the dye appears in the effluent at the same time and this time is θ , the V/Q retention time when the dimensionless time ratio $t_e/\theta = 1$. For the low level of dispersion some dye appears in the effluent before $t_e/\theta = 1$ and some after, but most appears pretty close to when $t_e/\theta = 1$. For the high degree of dispersion a small amount of dye appears almost immediately; then it builds up to a peak, after which it decreases, not quite

		exponentially but almost so. This is the type of curve we most commonly encounter with waste stabilization ponds.
© Duncan Mara 2006		

Note

Slide #13:

The BOD removed in a completely mixed reactor in grams per day is derived as follows:

- 1. The rate of BOD removal is dL/dt and this equals $-k_1L$, with the minus sign merely indicating that *L* decreases with *t*.
- 2. *L* is the BOD of the reactor contents which, for a completely mixed reactor, is the same as the effluent BOD, L_e . So $dL/dt = -k_1L_e$.
- 3. Writing $dL/dt = -k_1L_e$ on a finite-time basis for the whole reactor: $\Delta L/\Delta t = -k_1L_eV$
- 4. Thus the BOD removed is $|-k_1L_eV| = k_1L_eV g/day$.